

Proposed Restrictions - Dark Hill Faversham

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
1			1	Never encountered problems caused by lack of visibility, problem is with speed. Better solution - speed ramps.
2	1			Should be double yellow lines both sides of Dark Hill. If all cars then park on the other side it will reduce the turning circle when exiting the turning. If they are placed on only one side everyone is going to park on the other side still making it an awkward exit and drive up Dark Hill.
3	1			
4	1			This would benefit pedestrians as well as buses and other vehicles
5		1		Excessive. Lived here 16 years unaware of accidents at junction in fact parked cars slow done vehicles on Dark Hill. If no parking introduced here simply move problem to resident areas. Parking here doesn't impact on anyone, no houses front this part of Dark Hill.
6		1		Object to yellow lines being at Dark Hill.
7	1			Agree with proposal, have had several near misses at junction because of parked cars. I think yellow lines or signs should be put on Davington Hill to warn traffic of oncoming traffic will be on wrong side of road around the bend because of cars parked outside White Cottages.
8	1			The area of proposed yellow lines is not a problem, they should start at point marked X on map and go up the hill for about 20-25 yards to make exiting from Davington Hill safer.
9		1		Lived here for 21 years cannot recall any injury accidents, it is clear that visibility at the junction is adequate and the grounds given for proposal are in valid. The removal of parking on North side of Dark Hill will increase risk of accidents, because cars park in now proposed double yellow lines, traffic going west to east slow to negotiate and moves out from the edge of the road., this means traffic speeds are slower now than if parking is removed. Imposing restrictions on north side will not reduce demand for parking but merely transfer it. If cars start to park on south side, the probability of accidents will increase because residents will have to undertake a virtually blind manoeuvre to exit their drives. In addition traffic travelling from the town centre will be obliged to move towards the centre of the road into the path of traffic exiting the Davington Hill junction. It is likely that parking will be displaced to further along Dark Hill. towards Bysing Wood Road, where restrictions are not proposed. Vehicle parking at that point will represent an increased traffic danger because the road both narrows and curves at that point
<b>Total</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>	

Residents consulted	<b>28</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>9</b>
Return percentage	<b>32</b>
Support percentage	<b>56</b>
Object percentage	<b>33</b>
Undecided percentage	<b>11</b>

## Proposed Restrictions - Capel Road/Whitehall Road, Sittingbourne

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
1		1		My property does not have a driveway. If car parking is denied I cannot unload household shopping, have a delivery of goods or workmen attending the property, no where for visitors to park and no where to clean my vehicle.
2	1			Would like Double Yellow Lines all the way up Whitehall Road on the houses side or single lines for no parking during 7am to 5pm. School people park all day blocking driveways, football crowd at weekends and in between the learner drivers, all blocking up Whitehall Road
3	1			Installing the proposals will have a positive effect on safety in addition to improvements in safety parking.
4	1			Assuming that we can park outside our house for the 10 minutes it takes to unload a weekly shop or to help a disabled family member from the car to the house.
5	1			Traffic calming in Capel Road to combat the road being used as a race track late at night.
6	1			The traffic heading west along Capel Road and turning left into Whitehall Road are able to do so at a speed that is too fast and unsafe.
7	1			
8	1			Parking on both sides increased greatly in last few months with drivers walking into the town via the park. At school finish time, bottom of Whitehall Road is blocked both sides which reduces visibility and makes it hard to drive out of the close.
9			1	I see the benefit of the proposed scheme but this will force them to park directly outside my property, which is already a problem. I'm elderly and struggle with shopping when I have to park a long walk away. If the only space was outside my house I will never be able to park. Permit holders only or no parking for more than a couple of hours would be better. Your solution does not help me and will exacerbate the situation.
10	1			Support in principal on grounds of safety. Almost certainly means vehicles will park in Hanover Close and The Fieldings. Stop housing developments until road network, parking spaces etc have caught up.
11		1		Parking is bad enough without encouraging more. I'm 82 and need access to a car nearby. People from school make it impossible to park outside during the day. Yellow lines will make issues worse.
12	1			Concerned that school mothers will double park from the post box to our driveway. We would not be able to get in and out of our driveway. We have cars parked all day from the park to traffic lights.
13			1	Any parking restrictions in Capel Road to the west of Whitehall Road (no through road) will force people to park in Whitehall road which is a through road and would make matters worse. I would recommend that lining as high lighted in yellow would be more useful.
14	1			Agree with proposal. More important issue is speed of cars and motorbikes.
15	1			Will not stop parking on other side of the road, so not the answer. I find it strange the yellow lines are going on the other side of the road where nobody ever parks and not outside 17-21 where they always park. So no different then!
16	1			However, I do not think it is necessary to have the double lines I have indicated.

Proposed Restrictions - Capel Road/Whitehall Road, Sittingbourne

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
17		1		I feel double yellow lines should be extended down towards the traffic lights, the proposed parking restrictions will cause double parking outside my property which cause more traffic congestion on a busy junction.
18	1			I support double lines in Capel Rd and Whitehall, I would suggest to extend them to Traffic lights otherwise you will have parking both sides. It won't help parking between 17-21 in Whitehall all day. Could there be some system for short term parking.
19	1			Support with reservations. (1) Double yellow lines required opposite entrance to Oast Court extending towards traffic lights. Current parking in this area causes problems getting to traffic lights. ( 2) Double yellow lines in Capel Road on housing side is hard on occupiers of 21-29 where do they park? ( 3) Is it necessary to yellow lines opposite No 23-25 This section of Capel Road is neither a through road or fast road.
20		1		I recommend the double yellow lines on the North side of Capel Road, west of 19 Capel Road, the no through road, be a single yellow line with a waiting restriction 8am to 10.30am . This would stop all day parkers and discourage students from parking. This allows parents to park and wait in Capel Road and park along the whole length of Capel Rd North, excluding where the proposals specify double yellow lines opposite the junction, this is beneficial from a safety point. I question evidence of need for these restrictions, the council should impose double yellow lines as a last resort because of inevitable knock on effects to the adjoining neighbourhood.
<b>Total</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>2</b>	

Residents consulted	<b>28</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>20</b>
Return percentage	<b>71</b>
Support percentage	<b>70</b>
Object percentage	<b>20</b>
Undecided percentage	<b>10</b>

**ANNEX B**

**Proposed Restrictions - Unity Street, Sheerness**

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
1	1			
2		1		There was a problem with parking before you put in Double Yellow Lines, if you put in more it will be worse. We have a problem parking near our front door everyday let alone down the street. Parents also park here for the school. Please do not put anymore yellow lines on or near our street. We are registered disabled so need to park in Jefferson Road.
3		1		The parking restrictions of 2010/11 at the junction of Jefferson Road/Unity St have made it increasingly difficult to park near one's home. Should this proposal be initiated where exactly do I or my neighbours park? Richmond St? Clyde St? or the other half of Unity St? Access to the Sheppey Academy will cease in 2013 there is no need to further disrupt households of Jefferson Road, Unity St. I respectfully ask that you drop this proposal immediately.
4		1		not enough spaces because of yellow lines put in last year- lost 20 spaces. Disabled bay takes 2 spaces and houses with 3 cars and works vans. Why can't children be picked up in Marine Parade. By taking more spaces it will congest Richmond St. I'm a health worker called out day and night and should not have to go looking for my car parked in another road and putting elderly people lives at risk.
5		1		Already difficult to park here. People from here will use Jefferson Road making it more difficult for the residents of that road to park.
6		1		The extension double lines and new single yellow lines to school gates are unnecessary because the access is still wider than school gates. Double yellow lines extended in Unity St would put a crippling pressure on residents in Unity and Jefferson. Would only serve school staff and the odd bus. After the construction of the school the new entrance would be used.
7		1		Not enough parking on other roads for residents and we are forced to use Unity Street, therefore parking is needed in Unity Street. If residents needed permits to park and no other vehicles this would help as a number of properties have 3/4 cars and only one driver.
8		1		Up to 10 homes will not be able to park their vehicles within a reasonable and safe distance from their home. We come home late at weekends and I feel it would be unsafe to walk my 3 children across multiply streets when they are tired.
9		1		soon there will be a new entrance to the Academy from Marine Parade so... in my opinion things should stay as is in the meantime. There is a lack of parking in the area.
				This proposal will severely limit residents parking
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	

Residents consulted	<b>62</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>9</b>
Return percentage	<b>15</b>
Support percentage	<b>11</b>
Object percentage	<b>89</b>
Undecided percentage	<b>0</b>

**ANNEX B**

**Proposed Restrictions - Mounfield, Faversham**

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
1	1			Double yellow lines should also be placed round the circle at the end of the cul-de-sac since there is clear evidence ( tyre tracks across gravel roundabout) that larger vehicles are having difficulty in negotiating this as well as the bend.
2	1			Commerical vehicles owned by residents of No 3 and 11 add to the problems. Emergency vehicles would struggle to get through.
3			1	Although not directly affected by the proposal, there are few places left to park. This would lead to more congestion and fighting for places. However, I appreciate that large vehicles do have a problem at times and this could be a solution
4	1			
5	1			With cars parked on the corner this becomes a blind corner and there have been a number of narrow misses.
6	1			Support the proposed yellow lines to facilitate access by larger vehicles and improve safety for traffic negotiating the bend in road.
7		1		The only regular larger vehicle is the refuse lorry. Mount field is a cul-de-sac which does not have a regular flow of larger vehicles. I feel this is a punitive response to a very small issue and furthermore a waste of valuable money.
8	1			Double yellow lines would assist larger vehicles and cars from meeting head on. Also stop refuse vehicles mounting the pavement causing damage.
9	1			Should be double yellow lines extending down to around the traffic island (outside 16-3) A lot of cars park on the island or on the pavements all around the island. The restrictions must be enforced.
10		1		Further restricting the parking because the recycling vehicle has a problem every two weeks is unacceptable. Short wheelbase vehicles do not have a problem . The recycling vehicle is severely damaging the road.
11			1	It seems a little heavy handed for something that is not a problem every day, only on bin day. Could it not be imposed Mon - Fri. I'm concerned where the cars will be displaced to, limited room around the roundabout end and Upper St Anns gets very busy at weekends.
12	1			It is both prudent and sensible to maintain a clear path for heavy and /or emergency vehicles to pass this part of Mount Field which has poor lines of visibility. Could consideration also be given to reinstating the double yellow lines at the junction of Mount Field with Upper St Annes before parking moves to that position.
13		1		Double yellow lines should be considered for the entire road. We experience many vehicles parking inappropriately, the proposed scenario will only make this worse. Two instances in the past 18 months where emergency vehicles have been unable to access the roundabout due to congestion. We would support double yellow lines for the entire road.
14	1			Support, we agree something has to be done to restrict parking on the corner of Mount Field as currently it is often difficult for large vehicles to get round the corner. The pavement and water pipes have been damaged. We are concerned where the residents cars will park. Would a weekday parking restriction be more flexible as the majority of large vehicles come during the week.

Proposed Restrictions - Mountfield, Faversham

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
15		1		Surprised that double yellow lines was seen as a solution to a minor problem. A representative from SBC spoke to us and she requested that we parked away from the bend on re-cycling days. Assumed this was working satisfactorily, so your letter has come as a shock. We are the only resident without off road parking, which means we will never be able to load or unload outside our house. We are both in our 60's and this will become increasingly more difficult as we get older. The problem could be resolved if the double yellow lines were taken from the right hand side of No 15's drop kerb round to where indicated on the map. There must be an alternative to double yellow lines which seem an extreme and ugly solution to a small residential street.
16	1			I am concerned the upper end of Mount Field will become blocked with cars which are normally parked on the proposed area of the yellow lines. The yellow lines at the entrance of Mount Field are almost obliterated and should be restored. Suggest the left hand side of Mount Field the line should be increased, because from the entrance to my drive to the yellow lines is quite a short spot and frequently cars chose to park in that area, thinking they are avoiding the lines and on some occasions my drive has been blocked.
17		1		Restrictions are not necessary, cars are not parked in the proposed area during the day. Will not stop lorries from mounting the pavement, which they do when the road is completely car free. Refuse lorries get round without mounting the pavements other lorries should be able to. No 16 has no off road parking and will be severely affected causing problems in other parts of the road. People wishing to park their cars at night will be forced to park in other parts of the road causing more problems possibly leading yellow lines elsewhere in the road.
<b>Total</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	

Residents consulted	21
Number of letters returned	17
Return percentage	81
Support percentage	59
Object percentage	29
Undecided percentage	12

**ANNEX B**

**Proposed Restrictions - West Ridge/Windsor Drive, Sittingbourne**

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
2	1			yes 100%
3	1			We can not park in the road at this side because the other side who have a service road and garage at the back have bricked front gardens and 2-4 cars per house.
4		1		No real objection but feel that there is only a problem here at school drop off/collection times and therefore do not feel this is necessary. Neighbour has recently had driveway re-surfaced which has generated couple of extra vehicles plus lorries parked here but this work is nearing completion so won't be a problem. (Verbal Response 10/5/12)
5	1			
6				OAP residential area, Mr ***** is terminally ill and the ambulance has been unable to drive down the road due to selfish parking on several occasions especially around school collection time. I hope it is going to be properly policed unlike at present. Drives are often parked across so that the mum/child doesn't have to walk!!!
<b>Total</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	

Residents consulted	<b>12</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>5</b>
Return percentage	<b>42</b>
Support percentage	<b>80</b>
Object percentage	<b>20</b>
Undecided percentage	<b>0</b>

Police Response	No Objections
KCC Response	No Objections
Kent Fire & Rescue	Support
Cllr A Willicombe	Support

**Proposed Restrictions - Frognal Gardens/Station Road, Teynham**

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
1		1		As I have a car myself I find parking in Frognal Gardens is getting worse. I am on the corner of Station Road and Frognal Gardens.
2	1			Oh yes please put double yellow lines outside my house it would be a god send.
3		1		Until adequate parking facilities are made for the residents, they have to find a space wherever they can and this will only increase as Teynham expands.
<b>Total</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	

Residents consulted	<b>12</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>3</b>
Return percentage	<b>25</b>
Support percentage	<b>33</b>
Object percentage	<b>67</b>
Undecided percentage	<b>0</b>

Police Response	No Objections
KCC Response	No Objections
Teynham PC	Support



**Proposed Restrictions - Colegates Road/Close/The Street, Oare**

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
1	1			Especially on Uplees Corner. Someone will be killed one day.
2	1			
3	1			
4	1			The bend is dangerous when full of parked cars. Bus cannot get through easily, plus junction of Uplees is used as spill over not ideal.
5	1			There is also a problem when a car is parked at the end of the bus stop layby. I have marked your plan. Harrison Terrace? I am concerned parking will increase here, this will now cause a blockage in our bus area.
6	1			Long due
7	1			Concerned cars who normally park on the corners will now park outside Harrison Terrace.
8	1			
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	

Residents consulted	<b>20</b>
Number of letters returned	<b>8</b>
Return percentage	<b>40</b>
Support percentage	<b>100</b>
Object percentage	<b>0</b>
Undecided percentage	<b>0</b>

Police Response	No Objections
KCC Response	No Objections

Proposed Restrictions - Cranbrook Drive/Tunstall Road, Sittingbourne

Responder	Support	Object	Undecided	Comments
2	1			Very much needed, any day an accident waiting to happen. Believe this action will possibly accentuate a further problem, upon implementing restrictions in the area available for parking it will encourage persons to park opposite the Bramble Down Place T junction. The Highway Code 217 Waiting and Parking. "Do not stop or park within ten metres (32 feet) of a junction except in an authorised space". Suggest that the yellow lines indicated on the plan of proposed restrictions should have the opposite side of Cranbrook Drive extended to match the existing proposal thereby eliminating the possibility of an accident occurring at the junction of Bramble Down Place.
3	1			comments exactly the same as 3
4	1			This may solve Tunstall Road junction issue but may shift the problem to further along Cranbrook Drive where there are people's driveways and a 90 degree bend. Any double parking at this end would result in all vehicles including buses meeting head-on at the bend.
5	1			see letter submitted. As a single parent with two primary school children I would find this proposal totally unacceptable, as no off road parking.
6	1	1		No necessity for yellow lines outside any of the property of 44 Cranbrook Drive.
7	1			see letter submitted. This is unacceptable. Nos 3,4,5 and 6 Pond Cottages will have nowhere to park. What is proposed for this? What arrangements have been made for this? Planning permission should not have been granted for Bramble Down Place as this took away off road parking.
8	1			We are in favour for the double yellow lines to be done at the junction as we have seen many a time when cars are having to swerve to avoid the parked cars which are near the junction on the left side and especially the buses. Yes take the double yellow lines both sides to a certain point but not just all the way down on one side. We cannot see why there are plans for double yellow lines all the way down the left side past Bramble Down and why to protect that area in particular this has its own private driveway and had nothing to do with the highway? There are 4 Pond Cottages that have no parking to their properties so they do need to park somewhere?
9	1	1		Cars parked and left far to near the junction of Cranbrook and Tunstall Rd causing a problem to turn in or out of Cranbrook. Also parking opposite the turn into Bramble Down Place causes another problem.
10	1			see letter submitted
11	1	1		This is a residential area and does not require double yellow lines at all.
12	1			We would be content to have double yellow lines around the corners on both sides of Cranbrook Drive extending to a reasonable distance to ensure a safe intersection with Tunstall Road. We object to the lines extending beyond Bramble Down Place on the west side of Cranbrook Drive. We do not believe that there is any evidence of safety be compromised at this junction. Cars rarely park on that side of Cranbrook Drive.
13	1			
14	1			
15	1	1		
<b>Total</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	

Residents consulted	19
Number of letters returned	13
Return percentage	68
Support percentage	62
Object percentage	38
Undecided percentage	0